IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DEBORAH OSBORNE, *Plaintiff*,

 \mathbb{V}_{\bullet}

No. 2:19-cv-00588

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Defendant.

AMENDED PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Andrew Armijo, declare:

- 1. I am a citizen of the United States and am over the age of eighteen. I am not a party to the above-captioned action. The following facts are within my knowledge and, if called to testify as a witness, I would testify competently thereto.
- 2. On August 2, 2019, I served true and correct copiers of the Summons on Amended Complaint, Amended Complaint, Proof of service, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Plaintiffs, Original Complaint, and Notice of Assignment to Judge in the above captioned action, via the United States Postal Service, Certified Mail, to the following:

United States of America Attn: Civil Process Clerk 408 Galisteo St. Villagra Building Santa Fe, NM 87501

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 2nd day of August 2019, in Las Cruces, NM.

Andrew Armijo

Samuel I. Kane P.A.

1018 E. Amador Ave.

Las Cruces, NM 88001

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

United S	STATES DISTRICT COURT
	for the
	District of New Mexico
DEBORAH OSBORNE)))
Plaintiff(s)	
v.) Civil Action No. 2:19-CV-00588
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)))
Defendant(s)	j
SUM	IMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION On Amended Complaint

To: (Defendant's name and address)

United States of America Attn: Civil Process Clerk 408 Galisteo St. Villagra Building Santa Fe, NM 87501

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Samuel I. Kane, P.A. Moss Legal Group, PLLC

whose name and address are:

Samuel I. Kane NM Bar #21790 1018 E. Amador Ave. Las Cruces, NM 88001 (575) 526-5273

sam_kane@yahoo.com

M. Mitchell Moss

NM Bar #7477 5845 Cromo Dr., Ste. 2 El Paso, TX 79912

(915) 703-7307

CLERK OF COUR

mitch@mosslegalsolutions.com

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Date: Thursday, August 01, 2019

Jacob Garcia

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Civil Action No. 2:19-CV-00588

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)		
was re	eceived by me on (date)	,		
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at	(place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or usu	nal place of abode with (name)	
		, a person o	of suitable age and discretion who re	sides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	e individual's last known address; or	
		ons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behalf	of (name of organization)	
	2		on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	true.	
Date:			Server's signature	
			Borror B Biginature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DEBORAH OSBORNE, *Plaintiff*,

V.

No. 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Hon. Judge Carmen E. Garza

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Defendant.

PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, by and through counsel of record, Law Offices of Samuel I. Kane, P.A. (Samuel I. Kane) and Moss Legal Group, PLLC (M. Mitchell Moss) and for her Amended Complaint hereby states:

A. PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, an individual, is a citizen of the State of New Mexico residing in Otero County, New Mexico.
- 2. Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is a governmental entity and may be served with process by serving the Attorney General of New Mexico, Hector Balderas, or an assistant United States Attorney or a clerical employee designated by the U.S. Attorney to accept service at 408 Galisteo Street, Villagra Building, Santa Fe, NM 87501 by registered or certified mail addressed to the civil process clerk at the office of the United States Attorney General to include a copy of the summons and complaint. Pursuant to FRCP 5.5.1, Defendant THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA will also be served with process by serving the U.S. Attorney General by registered or certified mailed addressed to U.S. Attorney General, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20530 to include a copy of the summons and complaint.

B. JURISDICTION

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the lawsuit under Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 USC 2671, et seq. and 28 U.S.C § 1346(b)(1) because this case involves a civil action against the United States and its employee, for money damages, accruing on and after January 1, 1945, for injury, or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of Defendant Gonzales, an employee of the United States while acting within the scope of his office or employment, under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred.
- 4. Plaintiff previously submitted her claim to Defendants as required by 26 U.S.C. § 2675 and such claim was finally denied from U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security, as reflected in correspondence dated May 14, 2019, attached hereto as Exhibit A. Suit has been timely filed.

C. <u>VENUE</u>

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1402(a)(1) because Plaintiff Deborah Osborne at all material times was a resident of Otero County, New Mexico. Moreover venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1402(b) because all acts and omissions which form the basis of this Original Complaint occurred in Otero County, New Mexico.

D. FACTS

- 6. This lawsuit results from a vehicle-pedestrian collision that occurred on June 26, 2018, in Alamogordo, Otero County, New Mexico at the intersection of East 10th Street and Oregon Avenue.
- 7. At the time of the accident Plaintiff Deborah Osborne was walking South on Oregon Avenue at the intersection of Oregon Ave. and 10th Street and she had the right-of-way as she was

walking in a designated crosswalk with flashing indicators. Meanwhile Defendant United States (U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security), Agent Luis Gonzales, while in the course and scope of his employment as an employee of Defendant United State of America, was driving a U.S. Border Patrol marked vehicle displaying a DHS license plate number 2706276 travelling East on 10th St. at the Intersection of Oregon Ave. and 10th St.

E. CAUSE OF ACTION FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT

- 8. Said collision and Plaintiff's damages were proximately caused by Defendant United States employee's violation of the laws of the State of New Mexico and of the United States of America constituting negligence per se.
- 9. Defendant United States owed a duty of reasonable degree of care to Plaintiff. Plaintiff alleges that the collision and Plaintiff's damages were proximately caused by the negligence of Defendant United States of America and Agent Gonzales in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant United States arising from one or more of the following alternative theories of negligence: Plaintiff asserts the theory of Respondents Superior of Defendant United States.
 - a) Failure to keep a proper lookout;
 - b) Failure to maintain an assured, clear stopping distance between the vehicle and pedestrian;
 - c) Failure to use due care in operating a motor vehicle;
 - d) Failure to safely apply brakes to avoid a collision;
 - e) Failure to turn to the left or right to avoid a collision;
 - f) Failure to warn of his approach;

- g) Failure to take proper evasive action;
- h) Failure to pay attention;
- i) Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- j) Other acts of negligence.

Each of which acts or omissions was other than what a reasonable and prudent person would have been doing under the same or similar circumstances. Each of which acts, or omissions was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.

- 10. Defendant United States' acts or omissions was other than what a reasonable and prudent person would have been doing under the same or similar circumstance. Each of which acts or omissions was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.
 - a) That on June 26, 2018, Defendant United States was negligent by entrusting control and operation of the motor vehicle which was under their ownership and control to Agent Gonzales;
 - b) Other negligence.

Each of which acts, or omissions was other than what a reasonable and prudent person would have been doing under the same or similar circumstances. Each of which acts, or omissions was proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.

F. NEGLIGENCE OF AGENT GONZALES

- 11. Plaintiff alleges that the collision and Plaintiff's damages were proximately caused by one or more of the following alternative theories of negligence on the part of Agent Gonzales:
 - a) Failure to keep a proper lookout;
 - Failure to maintain an assured, clear stopping distance between the vehicle and pedestrian;

- c) Failure to use due care in operating a motor vehicle;
- d) Failure to safely apply brakes to avoid a collision;
- e) Failure to turn to the left or right to avoid a collision;
- f) Failure to warn of his approach;
- g) Failure to take proper evasive action;
- h) Failure to pay attention;
- i) Other negligence.

Each of which acts, or omissions was other than what a reasonable and prudent person would have been doing under the same or similar circumstances. Each of which acts, or omissions was proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.

G. DAMAGES OF PLAINTIFF

- 12. As a result of the occurrence, Plaintiff suffered severe bodily injuries. As a further result of the occurrence, Plaintiff has incurred expenses for medical care, attention and other expenses. These expenses incurred were necessary for the care and treatment of the injuries sustained by Plaintiff and the charges made and to be made were the usual and customary charges for such services. Plaintiff will require further medical care and attention and will necessarily incur reasonable expenses in the future for such medical needs.
- 13. As a result of the occurrence, Plaintiff has lost income in the past and has incurred lost future wage earning capacity. Plaintiff has suffered pain and suffering in the past. Plaintiff will continue to suffer mental pain and anguish in the future. As a result of the occurrence, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer impairment to her body. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer disfigurement. Plaintiff has suffered damages within the jurisdictional limits of this Court.

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 5 Filed 07/31/19 Page 6 of 6

H. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, prays that Defendant, be cited to appear and answer herein, and this cause be set for trial, and Plaintiffs recover judgment of and from Defendant for her damages in such amount as the evidence may show and that may be deemed to be proper, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and for such other and further relief, both general and specific, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled, and for any and all other relief this Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ M. Mitchell Moss
Mitchell Moss
NM Bar #7477
5845 Cromo Dr., Suite 2
El Paso, Texas 79912
Main: 915.703.7307
Direct: 915.703.7308

Fax: 915.703.7618

/s/ Samuel I. Kane Samuel I. Kane NM Bar #21790 1018 E. Amador Ave. Las Cruces, NM 88001 (575) 526-5263 (575) 647-5264 Fax

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DEBORAH OSBORNE, Plaintiff,

V.

No. 2:19-cv-00588 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

LUIS GONZALES,
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND
SECURITY, U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
AND U.S. BORDER PATROL.
Defendant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Andrew Armijo, declare:

- 1. I am a citizen of the United States and am over the age of eighteen. I am not a party to the above-captioned action. The following facts are within my knowledge and, if called to testify as a witness, I would testify competently thereto.
- 2. On July 3, 2019, I served true and correct copiers of the Summons with addendum, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Plaintiffs, Original Complaint, and Notice of Assignment to Judge in the above captioned action, via the United States Postal Service, Certified Mail, to the following:

Luis Javier Gonzales 4649 Loma De Indios Ln El Paso, TX 79934

U.S. Department of Homeland Security Office of General Counsel Mail Stop 0485 245 Murray Lane SW Washington, DC 20528-0485

U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of General Counsel 1300 Pennsylvania NW Washington, DC 20229

U.S. Border Patrol Office of General Counsel 1300 Pennsylvania NW Washington, DC 20229

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 11 of 36

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 3rd day of July 2019, in Las Cruces, NM.

Andrew Armijo

Samuel I. Kane P.A. 1018 E. Amador Ave. Las Cruces, NM 88001

de Jant

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 3 of 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

for the District of New Mexico				
DEBORAH OSBORNE,)			
Plaintiff(s)) }			
v. LUIS GONZALES, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION, AND U.S. BORDER PATROL	Civil Action No. 19-CV-00588)))			
Defendant(s)	΄			

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) LUIS JAVIER GONZALES 4649 LOMA DE INDIOS LN EL PASO, TX 79934

(SEE ATTACHED ADDENDUM FOR ADDRESSES OF REMAINING DEFENDANTS)

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

SAMUEL I. KANE NM BAR#21790 1018 E. AMADOR AVE, LAS CRUCES, NM 88001 (575) 526-5263 sam_kane@yahoo.com

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Date: Thursday, June 27, 2019

Spaceb Garcia
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

of New

CLERK OF CO.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 19-CV-00588

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if any)		
was 1	received by me on (date)	,		
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the individual at (place	.)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's residence or usual pl	ace of abode with (name)	
			table age and discretion who re	sides there.
	on (date)		vidual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summe	ons on (name of individual)		. who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behalf of (no	ame of organization)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Audio a		on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sumr	nons unexecuted because		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is true.		
Date:				
		# ************************************	Server's signature	
			Printed name and title.	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 5 of 16

Summons – Addendum Osborne v. Gonzales et. al. 2:19-cv-00588

Defendants' names and Addresses

U.S. Department of Homeland Security Office of General Counsel U.S. Department of Homeland Security Mail Stop 0485 245 Murray Lane SW Washington, DC 20528-0485

U.S. Customs and Border Protection Office of Chief Counsel U.S. Customs and Border Protection 1300 Pennsylvania NW Washington, DC 20229

U.S. Border Patrol
Office of Chief Counsel
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
1300 Pennsylvania NW
Washington, DC 20229

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 15 of 36

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 6 of 16
Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 1 Filed 06/26/19 Page 1 of 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DEBORAH OSBORNE, *Plaintiff*.

v.

No.
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

LUIS GONZALES,
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND
SECURITY, U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
AND U.S. BORDER PATROL.
Defendant.

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, by and through counsel of record, Law Offices of Samuel I. Kane, P.A. (Samuel I. Kane) and Moss Legal Group, PLLC (M. Mitchell Moss) and for her Original Complaint hereby states:

A. PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, an individual, is a citizen of the State of New Mexico residing in Otero County, New Mexico.
- 2. Defendant, Luis Gonzales, is a citizen of the State of Texas, County of El Paso. At the time of incident which is the subject matter of this case Defendant was an on-duty Border Patrol Officer acting in the course and scope of his duties who may be served with process at 4649 Loma De Indios LN, El Paso, Texas, 79934, or at any other address where he may be found.
- 3. The Department of Homeland Security (hereinafter "DHS") is a cabinet department of the United States federal government with responsibility for, among other things, control over United States Customs and Border Protection and United States Border Patrol.

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 7 of 16 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 1 Filed 06/26/19 Page 2 of 7

- United States Customs and Border Protection (hereinafter "CBP") is a federal law enforcement agency within DHS. CBP officers were the supervisors of US Border Patrol as they are a division of CBP.
- 5. United States Border Patrol (hereinafter "BP") is a security force within CBP. Border Patrol officers were supervising and in control of Defendant Gonzales at the time of the incident.

B. JURISDICTION

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the lawsuit under 28 U.S.C § 1346(b)(1) because this case involves a civil action against the United States and its employee, for money damages, accruing on and after January 1, 1945, for injury, or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of Defendant Gonzales, an employee of the United States while acting within the scope of his office or employment, under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred.
- Plaintiff previously submitted her claim to Defendants as required by 26 U.S.C. § 2675 and such claim was finally denied as reflected in correspondence dated May 14, 2019, attached hereto as Exhibit A. Suit has been timely filed.

C. <u>VENUE</u>

8. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1402(a)(1) because Plaintiff Deborah Osborne at all material times was a resident of Otero County, New Mexico. Moreover venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1402(b) because all acts and omissions which form the basis of this Original Complaint occurred in Otero County, New Mexico.

D. <u>FACTS</u>

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 8 of 16 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 1 Filed 06/26/19 Page 3 of 7

- This lawsuit results from a vehicle-pedestrian collision that occurred on June 26, 2018, in Alamogordo, Otero County, New Mexico at the intersection of East 10th Street and Oregon Avenue.
- 10. At the time of the accident Deborah Osborne was walking South on Oregon Avenue at the intersection of Oregon Ave. and 10th Street and she had the right-of-way as she was walking in a designated crosswalk with flashing indicators. Meanwhile Defendant, Luis Gonzales, while in the course and scope of his employment as an employee of the USBP, was driving a USBP marked vehicle displaying a DHS license plate number 2706276 travelling East on 10th St. at the Intersection of Oregon Ave. and 10th St.

E. COUNT 1 - NEGLIGENCE

- 11. At all times relevant to the allegations of this Complaint Defendant Gonzales had a duty to act as a reasonable and prudent driver and exercise reasonable care in the operation of his vehicle. Defendant Gonzales breached this duty and was negligent, such negligence including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Failing to timely apply his brakes;
 - b. Failing to maintain a proper lookout;
 - c. Take evasive action to avoid colliding with a pedestrian;
 - d. Driving his vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - e. Careless driving;
 - f. Failing to yield to a pedestrian with the right of way.
- 12. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Gonzales' negligence, Plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 18 of 36

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 9 of 16 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 1 Filed 06/26/19 Page 4 of 7

- a. Physical pain and mental anguish in the past and future.
- b. Lost earnings.
- c. Lost earning capacity.
- d. Disfigurement.
- e. Physical impairment in the past and future.
- f. Medical expenses in the past and future.
- g. Loss of enjoyment of life.

F. COUNT 2 - NEGLIGENCE PER SE

- 13. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all preceding allegations contained in this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 14. At the time of the accident, Agent Gonzales was operating his vehicle in violation of New Mexico statutes and ordinances. Agent Gonzales was cited for two violations, however, pursuant to New Mexico law he violated other Traffic laws including, but not limited to:
 - a. Careless Driving.
 - b. Fail to Yield to Pedestrians Right of Way.
 - c. Speeding.
 - d. Failing to keep a proper lookout.
 - e. Due Care Collision.
- 15. Agent Gonzales' violation of existing statutes and ordinances in effect at the time of the subject accident constitutes negligence per se and proximately caused injuries and damages to Deborah Osbourne.

G. COUNT 3 - RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 10 of 16 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 1 Filed 06/26/19 Page 5 of 7

- 16. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all preceding allegations contained in this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 17. At all times material to the allegations contained herein Defendant Gonzales was acting in the course and scope of his employment for the United States Border Patrol through US Customs and Border Protection by and through The Department of Homeland Security. Under the doctrine of respondeat superior, The Department of Homeland Security, US Customs and Border Protection, and US Border Patrol are liable for the acts and/or omissions of Defendant Gonzales.

H. COUNT 4 – NEGLIGENT HIRING, TRAINING, SUPERVISION, and ENTRUSTMENT

- 18. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and United States Border Patrol (USBP) were negligent in hiring, training, supervising, and in entrusting a vehicle to Agent Gonzales. DHS, CBP and USBP failed to reasonably qualify Agent Gonzales prior to hiring him; failed to reasonably train Agent Gonzales before allowing him to drive; failed to reasonably supervise Agent Gonzales while operating vehicles; and negligently entrusted Agent Gonzales with a vehicle.
- 19. DHS, CBP and USBP negligent hiring, training, supervising, and entrustment proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

I. DAMAGES

- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Physical pain and mental anguish in the past and future.
 - b. Lost earnings.
 - c. Lost earning capacity in the past and future.

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 20 of 36

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 11 of 16 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 1 Filed 06/26/19 Page 6 of 7

- d. Disfigurement in the past and future.
- e. Physical impairment in the past and future.
- f. Medical expenses in the past and future.
- g. Loss of enjoyment of life.
- 21. Plaintiff also seeks to recover all damages, prejudgment interest, post judgment interest, and court costs.

J. JURY DEMAND

K. Plaintiff Deborah Osborne hereby requests a trial by jury in connection with this matter pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

L. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, pray that Defendants Luis Gonzales, Department of Homeland Security, US Customs and Border Protection, and United States Border Patrol, be cited to appear and answer herein, and this cause be set for trial before a jury, and Plaintiffs recover judgment of and from Defendants for her damages in such amount as the evidence may show and the jury may determine to be proper, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and for such other and further relief, both general and specific, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled, and for any and all other relief this Court deems appropriate.

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 21 of 36

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ M. Mitchell Moss
Mitchell Moss
NM Bar #7477
5845 Cromo Dr., Suite 2
El Paso, Texas 79912
Main: 915.703.7307
Direct: 915.703.7308

Fax: 915.703.7618

/s/ Samuel I. Kane Samuel I. Kane NM Bar #21790 1018 E. Amador Ave. Las Cruces, NM 88001 (575) 526-5263 (575) 647-5264 Fax

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 22 of 36

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 13 of 16 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 2 Filed 06/26/19 Page 1 of 2

IS 44 (Rev. 02:19)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRICTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Osborne Deborah				DEFENDA	NTS				0,111	
(b) County of Residence Moss Asgaratorp, Pro 915-703-7307 Samuel I. Kane P.A. 10	encept in U.S. Plaintiff c	斯e 2 El Paso, TX 7		County of Resid NOTE: IN LAN THE TE Attorneys (If Kin)	ID CON RACT D	an U.S.	ded Defendant PLAINTIFF CASES SON CASES, USE NVOLVED.		OF	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place on "X" in a	One Boy Only)	THE CI	TIZENSHIP O	E 1010 I	מוייות	AT DADTTEC			
O 1 U.S Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases Of	PTF	DEF	Incorporated or P	and One Box f	or Defend PTF	for Platety ant) DEF O 4
3 U.S. Government Defendant	3 4 Diversity (Indicate Crtizensh	lp of Parties in Itam [11]	Citize	rn of Another State	3 2	彭 2		Principal Place	O 5	O 5
				en or Subject of a	5 3	0.3	Foreign Nation		D 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place em "X" in One Box Or	nb)	For	reign Country		Click	here for: Nature	of Suit Cade To	ponietie	
CONTRACT	Te	RIS	FO	ALKIK BURKER	Y		KRUPICX	OTHER		
3 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Exchales Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL FROFEETS 210 Land Condemnation 220 Forecloster 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 200 Rent Lease & Ejectment 200 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 200 Rent 200 Rent Lease & Ejectment 200 Rent 200 Rent Lease & Ejectment 200 Torts to Land 200 Torts to Land	PERSONAL INJURY 3 316 Airpiane 3 315 Airpiane Product Librility 3 320 Assaul, Libel & Slander Liability 3 340 Marine 3 45 Marine Product Liability 3 350 Motor Vehicle 3 350 Motor Vehicle 3 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 3 360 Other Personal Japary 3 362 Personal Injury Medical Malaractice CIVIL REGITS 3 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Housing 442 Employment 443 Housing 443 Housing 445 Amer. w Disabilities Chapter 446 Amer. w Disabilities Chapter 448 Education	FERSONAL INJUST 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Crare' Pharmaceutseal Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 360 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability FERSONER PETITION Habbas Corpus: 463 Alien Detannee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Pricos Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	TY	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 9 Other LABOR Pair Labor Standards Act Labor Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act MANUGRATION Naturalization Applicat Other Immigration Actions	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	422 Apper 423 With 28 U FROFEI 820 Copy 880 Paten 835 Paten 80 JAL 861 HIA (862 Black 863 DIWA 864 SSID 865 RSI (FEDERA 870 Texes 677 IRS	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 KIX 81G/FTS rights t - Abbreviated Drug Application comb. EEC USTIY 1395(f) Lang (923) CD/WW (405(g)) Title XVI	375 False Cl 376 Qui Tem 3729(4) 3400 State Re 3410 Antitrust 450 Comment 460 Deportat 470 Racketses	nims Act (37 USC) papertions ad Banking ce ion r Influence reganizati r Credit te Consum that TV MCommon an Act trV MCommon that Inform that Info	nent B ed and cons litten/ cons ters atton
	noved from [] 3 R e Court A	Lemanded from Lemand	4 Reinst Reope	ned Auto	ther Di	strol	O 6 Multidiatri Litigation - Transfer	- i	Aultidistr Aligation Arect File	•
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N7 L					THE PERSON NAMED IN PORT OF PE	ony,		- Colomb	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE	UNDER RULE 23	Over by Defendent S A CLASS ACTION F.R.Cv.P.	DE	or Patrol Agent NAND S \$1,000,000+			ECK YES only if RY DEMAND:	f demanded in o M Yes	omplaint ONo	
IF ANY		UDGE			I	OCKET	NUMBER			
6-24-19 OR OFFICE USE ONLY	Vhw VI	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF	RECORD						
RECEIPT * AMO		APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			МАБ. ЛИДО	Œ		

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 23 of 36

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 14 of 16 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 2 Filed 06/26/19 Page 2 of 2

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 02/19)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take procedence over diversity expect.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven horses.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 24 of 36 Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 15 of 16

7/2/2019

Full docket text:

United States Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Garza and United States Magistrate Judge Gregory J. Fouratt assigned. (jg)

	PACER S	ervice Cent	ter
	Transact	ion Receipt	
	07/02/20	19 08:35:20	
PACER Login:	sk1581:3184914:0	Client Code:	
Description:	History/Documents	Search Criteria:	2:19-cv-00588-CG- GJF
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 25 of 36

7/2/2019

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 4 Filed 07/03/19 Page 16 of 16

Full docket text for document 3:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this case has been randomly assigned to United States Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Garza to conduct dispositive proceedings in this matter, including motions and trial. Appeal from a judgment entered by a Magistrate Judge will be to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. It is the responsibility of the case filer to serve a copy of this Notice upon all parties with the summons and complaint. Consent is strictly voluntary, and a party is free to withhold consent without adverse consequences. Should a party choose to consent, notice should be made no later than 21 days after entry of the Order setting the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference. For e-filers, visit our Web site at www.nmd.uscourts.gov for more information and instructions.

[THIS IS A TEXT-ONLY ENTRY. THERE ARE NO DOCUMENTS ATTACHED.] (jg)

	PACER S	ervice Cent	er
	Transact	ion Receipt	
	07/02/20	19 08:35:36	
PACER Login:	sk1581:3184914:0	Client Code:	
Description:	History/Documents	Search Criteria:	2:19-cv-00588-CG- GJF
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10

Full docket text for document 3:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this case has been randomly assigned to United States Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Garza to conduct dispositive proceedings in this matter, including motions and trial. Appeal from a judgment entered by a Magistrate Judge will be to the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. It is the responsibility of the case filer to serve a copy of this Notice upon all parties with the summons and complaint. Consent is strictly voluntary, and a party is free to withhold consent without adverse consequences. Should a party choose to consent, notice should be made no later than 21 days after entry of the Order setting the Rule 16 Initial Scheduling Conference. For e-filers, visit our Web site at www.nmd.uscourts.gov for more information and instructions.

[THIS IS A TEXT-ONLY ENTRY. THERE ARE NO DOCUMENTS ATTACHED.] (jg)

	PACER Se	ervice Cent	er
	Transact	ion Receipt	
	08/02/20	19 14:31:44	
PACER Login:	sk1581:3184914:0	Client Code:	
Description:	History/Documents	Search Criteria:	2:19-cv-00588-CG- GJF
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10

Full docket text:

United States Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Garza and United States Magistrate Judge Gregory J. Fouratt assigned. (jg)

	PACER Se	ervice Cent	er
	Transact	ion Receipt	
	08/02/20	19 14:31:54	
PACER Login:	sk1581:3184914:0	Client Code:	
Description:	History/Documents	Search Criteria:	2:19-cv-00588-CG- GJF
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 28 of 36

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 2 Filed 06/26/19 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

parpose or same					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Osborne Deborah			DEFENDANTS		
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	Otero (SES)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF ENDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	
M&s ሲեցաք ଓ <i>ተ</i> եպը ^N ምር ይ 915-703-7307 Samuel I. Kane P.A. 101					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State	TF DEF 1	PTF DEF
★ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 3 2 Incorporated and I of Business In a	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	36 06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			L CORFEITURE (BENALTY	Click here for: Nature (of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	FORFEITURE/PENALTY 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from	Appellate Court	(specify,	er District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N		filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state) a Border Patrol Agent		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ \$1,000,000+	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	rif demanded in complaint: : X Yes □No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 6-24-19	VhuV.	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 29 of 36

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 2 Filed 06/26/19 Page 2 of 2

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 02/19)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- L(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DEBORAH OSBORNE, *Plaintiff*,

v.

No. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

LUIS GONZALES,
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND
SECURITY, U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION,
AND U.S. BORDER PATROL.

Defendant.

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, by and through counsel of record, Law Offices of Samuel I. Kane, P.A. (Samuel I. Kane) and Moss Legal Group, PLLC (M. Mitchell Moss) and for her Original Complaint hereby states:

A. PARTIES

- Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, an individual, is a citizen of the State of New Mexico residing in Otero County, New Mexico.
- 2. Defendant, Luis Gonzales, is a citizen of the State of Texas, County of El Paso. At the time of incident which is the subject matter of this case Defendant was an on-duty Border Patrol Officer acting in the course and scope of his duties who may be served with process at 4649 Loma De Indios LN, El Paso, Texas, 79934, or at any other address where he may be found.
- 3. The Department of Homeland Security (hereinafter "DHS") is a cabinet department of the United States federal government with responsibility for, among other things, control over United States Customs and Border Protection and United States Border Patrol.

- 4. United States Customs and Border Protection (hereinafter "CBP") is a federal law enforcement agency within DHS. CBP officers were the supervisors of US Border Patrol as they are a division of CBP.
- 5. United States Border Patrol (hereinafter "BP") is a security force within CBP. Border Patrol officers were supervising and in control of Defendant Gonzales at the time of the incident.

B. JURISDICTION

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the lawsuit under 28 U.S.C § 1346(b)(1) because this case involves a civil action against the United States and its employee, for money damages, accruing on and after January 1, 1945, for injury, or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of Defendant Gonzales, an employee of the United States while acting within the scope of his office or employment, under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred.
- 7. Plaintiff previously submitted her claim to Defendants as required by 26 U.S.C. § 2675 and such claim was finally denied as reflected in correspondence dated May 14, 2019, attached hereto as Exhibit A. Suit has been timely filed.

C. VENUE

8. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1402(a)(1) because Plaintiff Deborah Osborne at all material times was a resident of Otero County, New Mexico. Moreover venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1402(b) because all acts and omissions which form the basis of this Original Complaint occurred in Otero County, New Mexico.

D. FACTS

- This lawsuit results from a vehicle-pedestrian collision that occurred on June 26, 2018, in Alamogordo, Otero County, New Mexico at the intersection of East 10th Street and Oregon Avenue.
- 10. At the time of the accident Deborah Osborne was walking South on Oregon Avenue at the intersection of Oregon Ave. and 10th Street and she had the right-of-way as she was walking in a designated crosswalk with flashing indicators. Meanwhile Defendant, Luis Gonzales, while in the course and scope of his employment as an employee of the USBP, was driving a USBP marked vehicle displaying a DHS license plate number 2706276 travelling East on 10th St. at the Intersection of Oregon Ave. and 10th St.

E. COUNT 1 - NEGLIGENCE

- 11. At all times relevant to the allegations of this Complaint Defendant Gonzales had a duty to act as a reasonable and prudent driver and exercise reasonable care in the operation of his vehicle. Defendant Gonzales breached this duty and was negligent, such negligence including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Failing to timely apply his brakes;
 - b. Failing to maintain a proper lookout;
 - c. Take evasive action to avoid colliding with a pedestrian;
 - d. Driving his vehicle at an excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
 - e. Careless driving;
 - f. Failing to yield to a pedestrian with the right of way.
- 12. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Gonzales' negligence, Plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, which include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Physical pain and mental anguish in the past and future.
- b. Lost earnings.
- c. Lost earning capacity.
- d. Disfigurement.
- e. Physical impairment in the past and future.
- f. Medical expenses in the past and future.
- g. Loss of enjoyment of life.

F. COUNT 2 – NEGLIGENCE PER SE

- 13. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all preceding allegations contained in this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 14. At the time of the accident, Agent Gonzales was operating his vehicle in violation of New Mexico statutes and ordinances. Agent Gonzales was cited for two violations, however, pursuant to New Mexico law he violated other Traffic laws including, but not limited to:
 - a. Careless Driving.
 - b. Fail to Yield to Pedestrians Right of Way.
 - c. Speeding.
 - d. Failing to keep a proper lookout.
 - e. Due Care Collision.
- 15. Agent Gonzales' violation of existing statutes and ordinances in effect at the time of the subject accident constitutes negligence *per se* and proximately caused injuries and damages to Deborah Osbourne.

G. COUNT 3 - RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

- 16. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all preceding allegations contained in this Complaint as fully set forth herein.
- 17. At all times material to the allegations contained herein Defendant Gonzales was acting in the course and scope of his employment for the United States Border Patrol through US Customs and Border Protection by and through The Department of Homeland Security. Under the doctrine of respondeat superior, The Department of Homeland Security, US Customs and Border Protection, and US Border Patrol are liable for the acts and/or omissions of Defendant Gonzales.

H. <u>COUNT 4 – NEGLIGENT HIRING, TRAINING, SUPERVISION, and</u> <u>ENTRUSTMENT</u>

- 18. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and United States Border Patrol (USBP) were negligent in hiring, training, supervising, and in entrusting a vehicle to Agent Gonzales. DHS, CBP and USBP failed to reasonably qualify Agent Gonzales prior to hiring him; failed to reasonably train Agent Gonzales before allowing him to drive; failed to reasonably supervise Agent Gonzales while operating vehicles; and negligently entrusted Agent Gonzales with a vehicle.
- 19. DHS, CBP and USBP negligent hiring, training, supervising, and entrustment proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

I. DAMAGES

- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff suffered injuries and damages, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Physical pain and mental anguish in the past and future.
 - b. Lost earnings.
 - c. Lost earning capacity in the past and future.

- d. Disfigurement in the past and future.
- e. Physical impairment in the past and future.
- f. Medical expenses in the past and future.
- g. Loss of enjoyment of life.
- 21. Plaintiff also seeks to recover all damages, prejudgment interest, post judgment interest, and court costs.

J. JURY DEMAND

K. Plaintiff Deborah Osborne hereby requests a trial by jury in connection with this matter pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

L. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, Deborah Osborne, pray that Defendants Luis Gonzales, Department of Homeland Security, US Customs and Border Protection, and United States Border Patrol, be cited to appear and answer herein, and this cause be set for trial before a jury, and Plaintiffs recover judgment of and from Defendants for her damages in such amount as the evidence may show and the jury may determine to be proper, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and for such other and further relief, both general and specific, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled, and for any and all other relief this Court deems appropriate.

Case 2:19-cv-00588-CG-GJF Document 6 Filed 08/02/19 Page 36 of 36

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ M. Mitchell Moss Mitchell Moss NM Bar #7477 5845 Cromo Dr., Suite 2 El Paso, Texas 79912 Main: 915.703.7307 Direct: 915.703.7308

Fax: 915.703.7618

/s/ Samuel I. Kane Samuel I. Kane NM Bar #21790 1018 E. Amador Ave. Las Cruces, NM 88001 (575) 526-5263 (575) 647-5264 Fax